

~~SECRET~~

ER-10-5063

6 AUG 1958

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director (Plans)

SUBJECT : Inspector General's Survey of the Technical Services Staff, DD/P

REFERENCES : A. Report of IG's Survey of TSS - TS-164416  
B. Comments on IG's Survey of TSS - TS-118089

1. The above-referenced TSS report was submitted in April 1957 and contained some 160 specific recommendations. Under date of 30 June 1957 the DD/P submitted comments on the Survey. With respect to the IG's specific recommendations, the DD/P recommended approval of some 77 and indicated on 39 more that no action was required. For the most part, these were recommendations already in the process of being carried out. The DD/P further:

- a. Deferred recommendation pending receipt of comments from overseas on 14 items;
- b. Recommended postponement of action on 6 recommendations of the IG; and
- c. Recommended disapproval of 24 items.

2. Of the 24 IG recommendations with which the DD/P non-concurs, the latter's position is approved and the IG's recommendation disapproved in the case of the following 15 items (identified by attachments in Reference B): 35, 39, 48, 50, 53/A, 60, 71, 76, 77, 90, 92, 139, 140, 148, 152/3.

3. The remaining 9 IG recommendations with which the DD/P non-concurs should be handled as follows:

- a. Attachment 27: DD/P should consider whether a further effort should be made since the action proposed would be clearly desirable if feasible.

~~SECRET~~

ER

**SECRET**

Approved For Release 2001/07/26 : CIA-RDP62-01094R000200010013-2

- 2 -

b. Attachments 51 and 52: These recommendations should not be rejected out of hand but rather adopted to the extent feasible. The Director of Training might be of service here as well as TDY Briefing Teams.

c. Attachment 55: Recommendations a. and b. should be accomplished in any event although the document resulting from b. might be only a generalized procedural solution.

d. Attachment 72: DD/P to reconsider. No organization ever admits that there is duplication between two of its components but where duplication is alleged by the IG, the situation should be carefully reviewed.

e. Attachments 80 and 84: The recommendation that the TSS Research Board and other advisory boards be abolished should be further considered by the SA/PD/DCI in consultation with the Chief, TSS and the Assistant Director for Scientific Intelligence. This is not highly urgent but a recommendation should be made to the DCI no later than September 1958.

f. Attachment 121: The DD/P's dissenting comment on the IG's recommendation in effect evades the issue and states simply that the DD/P has initiated action to change or not change the situation as he may determine. The DD/P should now be ready to state whether the recommended change can be made or alternatively why it should not be made.

g. Attachment 150: The matter of TSS inclusion or exclusion from the new building is being dealt with separately.

4. The following action should be taken with respect to certain other IG recommendations not included in the group of 24 from which the DD/P has dissented.

a. Attachment 73: Keep under examination.

b. Attachment 79: The establishment of the position of the SA/PD/DCI constitutes action in response to this recommendation.

Approved For Release 2001/07/26 : CIA-RDP62-01094R000200010013-2

**SECRET**

**SECRET**

- 3 -

c. Attachment 82: Should be re-examined by DD/P. The fact that no adverse complaints or suggestions received does not necessarily mean system is perfect.

d. Attachment 83: The IG's recommendation that the DD/P establish an orderly system of research and development programming has been in part overtaken by events. Within the DD/P area the Materiel Board has been replaced by a reorganized Technical Requirements Board, which is a major improvement. Meanwhile the SA/PD/DCI has been given certain broad responsibilities for the supervision of research and development throughout the Agency. It is to be hoped that the programming of research and development within the Agency will become more orderly but all concerned should be guided in their action by a recognition that this new machinery has only just been created and that most of the task still remains to be done.

e. Attachment 85: The reorganization of the Technical Requirements Board constitutes action on this recommendation.

25X1A6a 5. The DD/P made no comment on 14 of the IG's recommendations pending receipt of comments from the field. For the most part, the recommendations concerned [REDACTED] and comments were requested from [REDACTED]. Presumably these comments have by now been received and the DD/P should be in a position to give some indication of his views on the IG's recommendations.

25X1A6a 6. Several attachments are answered only to the extent that "TSS not responsible -- Area Divisions are". DD/P should ensure follow up action on these with Area Divisions concerned.

7. Subject to the above specific comments, the IG's recommendations are approved but with the modifications or limitations proposed by the DD/P.

cc: D/DCI  
Inspector General  
25X1A9a SA/PD/DCI  
TSS (thru DD/P)  
SA/PD/DCI:RMB:djm (Total distribution)  
O&I-Addressee  
2-DCI (1-DCI, 1-ER)  
1-D/DCI  
1-IG  
1-TSS (thru DD/P) 2-SA/PD/DCI

FOIAb6

[REDACTED]  
~~ALLEN W. DULLES~~  
Dep Director

Originator: [REDACTED]

SA/PD/DCI

**SECRET**